# HIERARCHICAL TRANSFORMER ARCHITECTURE FOR PIANO MUSIC GENERATION VIA PATCH-BASED ENCODING

# Lekai Qian\*, Dehan Li\*, Haoyu Gu\*

South China University of Technology, School of Future Technology {ftqlk, 202364820081, 202364820071}@mail.scut.edu.cn

# **ABSTRACT**

We present a hierarchical transformer architecture for piano music generation that employs patch-based encoding to efficiently model both local patterns and long-range dependencies. Our approach encodes 88-dimensional pianoroll representations into compact 8-bit patch tokens, reducing computational complexity by 75% while maintaining generation quality. The architecture consists of a Patch-Level Decoder for coarse temporal modeling and a Character-Level Decoder for fine-grained token generation within patches. The system supports musical conditioning including time signatures and sequence length control, with flexible generation strategies for both standard autoregressive and guided generation modes.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Piano music generation faces significant challenges due to the high dimensionality of piano-roll representations (88 keys  $\times$  time steps). Traditional autoregressive approaches struggle with quadratic complexity  $\mathcal{O}(L^2)$  when modeling extended sequences, leading to memory constraints and training inefficiencies.

We propose a hierarchical transformer architecture that addresses these challenges through a patch-based encoding scheme inspired by vision transformers. Our approach treats piano-roll segments as patches, enabling efficient processing while preserving musical structure.

# 2. METHOD

# 2.1 Patch-Based Encoding

Given a binary piano-roll matrix  $\mathbf{P} \in \{0,1\}^{88 \times T}$ , we divide it into non-overlapping patches of size  $2 \times 4$  (2 pitches  $\times$  4 time steps). Each patch is encoded into an 8-bit integer token:

© L. Qian, D. Li, and H. Gu. Licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). Attribution: L. Qian, D. Li, and H. Gu, "Hierarchical Transformer Architecture for Piano Music Generation via Patch-Based Encoding", in Extended Abstracts for the Late-Breaking Demo Session of the 26th Int. Society for Music Information Retrieval Conf., Daejeon, South Korea, 2025.

$$token_{i,j} = \sum_{k=0}^{7} b_k \cdot 2^{7-k}$$
 (1)

where  $b_k$  represents the k-th bit in the flattened patch. This yields a token matrix  $\mathbf{T} \in \{0,...,255\}^{44\times(T/4)}$ , reducing sequence length by 75% while maintaining musical information.

Our vocabulary extends to special tokens: PAD=256 (padding), EOS=257 (end-of-sequence), and BOS=1 (beginning-of-sequence).

# 2.2 Model Architecture

#### 2.2.1 Patch-Level Decoder

The Patch-Level Decoder models coarse temporal structure. Given patch tokens  $\mathbf{X} \in Z^{B \times L \times 44}$  (batch size B, sequence length L):

$$\mathbf{E}_{patch} = Linear(OneHot(\mathbf{X})) \tag{2}$$

The model incorporates musical conditioning through learnable embeddings for time signature ( $\tau \in \{0,...,4\}$ ) and sequence length ( $\ell \in \{0,...,127\}$ ). The final input sequence  $[e_{len};e_{ts};\mathbf{E}_{patch}]$  is processed through a GPT-2 transformer.

# 2.2.2 Character-Level Decoder

The Character-Level Decoder autoregressively generates 44 tokens within each patch. For each patch embedding  $\mathbf{h} \in R^{d_{\mathrm{model}}}$ :

$$p(x_t|x_{< t}, \mathbf{h}) = \text{Softmax}(\text{GPT2-LM}([\mathbf{h}; \mathbf{e}_{x_{< t}}]))$$
 (3)

# 2.3 Generation Strategies

**Standard Generation:** Extends a prefix autoregressively until reaching maximum length or encountering three EOS rows. We employ temperature-controlled sampling with top-k filtering.

**Guided Generation:** Incorporates ground truth patches at specific intervals, enabling controlled generation with structural guidance:

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_{ground}[i] & \text{if } t \bmod 5 = 0\\ \mathcal{D}_c.\text{generate}(\mathbf{h}_t) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (4)

**Divergence Mechanism:** Creates sparse representations by downsampling the temporal dimension:  $\mathbf{T}_{\text{div}} =$ 

<sup>\*</sup> Equal contribution

Parameter	Value
Patch size (H × W)	$2 \times 4$
Vocabulary size	258
Max sequence length	128
Temperature default	0.7
Top-k default	10

**Table 1**. Key hyperparameters of the model.

 $\label{eq:patchenoode} \mbox{PatchEncode}(\mathbf{P}[:,::\ 8]), \mbox{ providing skeletal structure for long-range guidance.}$ 

# 3. IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

# 3.1 Architecture Specifications

The model is trained end-to-end using teacher forcing with cross-entropy loss, masking PAD tokens (256) in loss computation. The data processing pipeline handles padding to ensure width divisibility by 4, patch extraction, and token encoding/decoding.

# 3.2 Training Protocol

#### 4. DATASET AND TRAINING

**Dataset:** We train our models on a subset of the MuseScore dataset, containing approximately 15,000 piano pieces with durations ranging from 30 seconds to 2 minutes. The dataset covers diverse musical styles including classical, pop, and jazz compositions. Each piece is converted to piano roll format with 1/16 beat resolution, preserving temporal relationships across 88 piano keys.

**Data Processing:** Piano rolls are preprocessed by: (1) normalizing to binary format, (2) padding sequences to ensure temporal dimension divisibility by 4, and (3) extracting  $2 \times 4$  patches for token encoding. This yields training sequences with manageable vocabulary size while maintaining musical structure integrity.

**Training Configuration:** Both decoders use GPT-2 architecture with 8 layers, 1024 hidden dimensions, and 8 attention heads. We employ AdamW optimizer with learning rate 1e-4, batch size 16, and 0.1 dropout. Training runs for 50 epochs on 2 NVIDIA RTX 4090 GPUs, requiring approximately 12 hours total training time.

# 5. KEY ADVANTAGES

Our hierarchical approach offers several benefits:

- **Computational Efficiency:** Reduces sequence length by 75% through patch encoding
- **Hierarchical Modeling:** Separates global structure from local detail generation
- Flexible Control: Supports time signature and length conditioning
- **Memory Efficiency:** Compact 8-bit representation per patch

 Modular Design: Independent optimization of coarse and fine decoders

# 6. DEMONSTRATION

Our demonstration will showcase:

- Real-time music generation with adjustable temperature and top-k parameters
- 2. Comparison between standard and guided generation modes
- 3. Visualization of patch encoding/decoding process
- 4. Interactive control over time signature and sequence length

# 7. CONCLUSION

We presented a hierarchical transformer architecture that effectively balances computational efficiency with generation quality for piano music. The patch-based encoding scheme and two-level decoding strategy enable efficient modeling of both local patterns and long-range dependencies, making it suitable for real-time music generation applications.